



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/432,087	11/02/1999	TAKESHI MISAWA	0879-0242P	9612

7590 10/17/2002
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP
P O BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747

EXAMINER

VORTMAN, ANATOLY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2835

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002

18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application N .

09/432,087

Applicant(s)

MISAWA, TAKESHI

Examiner

Anatoly Vortman

Art Unit

2835

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman/AU 2835. (3) _____

(2) Catherine M. Voisinet, Reg. No. 52,327. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 October 2002.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: 45/5,880,928 to Ma *AV.* *(Ma)*

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Regarding claim 1, the Examiner pointed out that claim as amended still reads on Ma reference, since it contains functional recitation, which is not supported by sufficient structure. Applicant disagrees with the aforementioned statement. Regarding the method claim 17, the Examiner pointed out that claim as amended would not read on Ma reference, since it recites that the "chamber is configured to interchangeably receive the external device".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A. Vortman *10/16/02*
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.